

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/627,421	07/27/2000	Atsushi Murashima	017446/0305q	1185
22428	7590 09/21/2004		EXAM	INER
FOLEY AND LARDNER			AZAD, ABUL K	
SUITE 500 3000 K STRE	EET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2654	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/627,421	MURASHIMA, ATSUSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ABUL K. AZAD	2654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a sly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 J	<u>luly 2004</u> .					
,	s action is non-final.					
	= • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
closed in accordance with the practice under-	Ex-parte-Quayle,_1935-C.l	D11,-453-O.G213				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-18 and 20 is/are pending in the all 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	v.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the communication filed on July 12, 2004.
- 2. Claims 10-18 and 20- are pending in this action. Claims 10 and 20 have been amended. Claims 1-9 and 19 have been canceled.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-18 and 20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10, 11, 14-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nomura et al. (CA 2,112,145) in view of applicant's admitted prior art.

As per claim 10, Nomura teaches, "a speech signal decoding apparatus characterized by comprising":

"a plurality of decoding means for decoding information containing at least a sound source signal, a gain, and filter coefficients from a received bit stream" (Page 5, line 17-25);

"identification means for identifying voiced speech and unvoiced speech of a speech signal using the decoded information" (page 6, lines 11-28);

Art Unit: 2654

"smoothing means for performing smoothing processing based on the decoded information for at least either one of the decoded gain and the decoded filter coefficients in only the unvoiced speech identified by said identification means" (Page 7, lines 1-13).

Nomura does not explicitly teach, "means for obtaining an excitation signal by multiplying the decoded sound source signal by the decoding gain after performing the smooth process and means for decoding the speech signal by deriving a filter having the decoded filter coefficients by the excitation signal obtained from the means for obtaining". However, the applicant acknowledges that it is well-known, "means for obtaining an excitation signal by multiplying the decoded sound source signal by the decoding gain after performing the smooth process and means for decoding the speech signal by deriving a filter having the decoded filter coefficients by the excitation signal obtained from the means for obtaining" (Page 4, line 13 to page 6, line 16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement this well-known process into Nomura's invention because one ordinary skill in the art would readily recognized that would provide better perceptual quality of speech at the out put.

As per claim 11, Nomura teaches, "wherein said apparatus further comprises classification means for classifying unvoiced speech in accordance with the decoded information, and said smoothing means performs smoothing processing in accordance with a classification result of said classification means for at least either one of the decoded gain and the decoded filter coefficients in the unvoiced speech identified by said identification means" (page 11, lines 15 to page 12, line 10).

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 14, Nomura teaches, "wherein said decoding means decodes information containing pitch periodicity and a power of the speech signal from the received bit stream, and said identification means performs identification operation using at least either one of the decoded pitch periodicity and the decoded power output from said decoding means" (page 7, line 26 to page 8, line 15).

As per claim 15, Nomura teaches, "wherein said decoding means decodes information containing pitch periodicity and a power of the speech signal from the received bit stream, and said classification means performs classification operation using at least either one of the decoded pitch periodicity and the decoded power output from said decoding means" (page 7, line 26 to page 8, line 15).

As per claim 16, Nomura teaches, "wherein said apparatus further comprises estimation means for estimating pitch periodicity and a power of the speech signal from the excitation signal and the decoded speech signal, and said identification means performs identification operation using at least either one of the estimated pitch periodicity and the estimated power output from said estimation means" (page 7, line 26 to page 8, line 15).

As per claim 17, Nomura teaches, "wherein said apparatus further comprises estimation means for estimating pitch periodicity and a power of the speech signal from the excitation signal and the decoded speech signal, and said classification means performs classification operation using at least either one of the estimated pitch periodicity and the estimated power output from said estimation means" (page 7, line 26 to page 8, line 15).

Art Unit: 2654

As per claim 18, Nomura teaches, "wherein said classification means classifies unvoiced speech by comparing a value obtained by the decoded filter coefficients from said decoding means with a predetermined threshold" (page 7, line 26 to page 8, line 15).

As per claim 20, it is interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 1 above.

6. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nomura et al. (CA 2,112,145) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art as applied to claims 10 and 11 above, and further in view of Takada (US 6,088,670).

As per claims 12 and 13, Nomura does not explicitly teach, "wherein said identification/classification means performs identification/classification operation using a value obtained by averaging for a long term a variation amount based on a difference between the decoded filter coefficients and their long-term average". However, Takada teaches, "wherein said identification/classification means performs identification/classification operation using a value obtained by averaging for a long term a variation amount based on a difference between the decoded filter coefficients and their long-term average" (col. 8, lines 13-53). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use difference between the short-term value with a long-term average value to distinguish voiced speech unvoiced speech and noise because Takada teaches his invention is to provide a voice detector

Art Unit: 2654

which capable of accurately discriminating voiced/unvoiced frames, even when there are rapid changes in noise level (col. 2, lines 46-49).

Contact Information

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abul K. Azad whose telephone number is (703) 305-3838.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (703) 305-9645.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

(For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington,

VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 2654

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center's Customer Service Office at telephone number

(703) 306-0377.

Abul K. Azad

September 14, 2004